

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/016,060	11/01/2001	Michael J. Kitchin	940.02	6453
40320	7590 12/22/2005		EXAMINER	
BURNS & LEVINSON LLP 1030 15TH STREET NW, SUITE 300			KHATRI, ANIL	
	ON, DC 20005-1501		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2191	

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/016,060	KITCHIN, MICHAI	EL J.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Anil Khatri	2191				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	•	l l	Idress			
A SH WHII - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR is SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai- ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMM 1.136(a). In no event, however, r and will apply and will expire SIX (6 tute, cause the application to become	IUNICATION.  nay a reply be timely filed  i) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
Status							
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11.	/21/05					
/		nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims		,				
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· —	_						
7)							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requiremen	t.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
	application from the International Bure		peen received in this National	Stage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		not received				
`	22 account detailed office action for a lix	st of the certified topies	THOUTEGETY EU.				
Attach	*/~\						
Attachmen 1) ☐ Notic	τ(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	iou Summoru (PTO 442)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Pape	riew Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 r No(s)/Mail Date		e of Informal Patent Application (PTC	)-152)			

Art Unit: 2191

## Response to Arguments

This action is in response to the request for reconsideration filed on 11/21/05.

As per applicant request claims 1-15 has been considered but they are not persuasive.

Claims 1-15 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C 101 and 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by

Pastor et al USPN 6,681,383.

In the remarks applicant argues,

I) "How the language of the claims has been interpreted to support the 35 USC 101 rejection".

II) "Dividing software processes, familiar functional domain and normalized groups of

algorithms".

In response to applicant arguments,

I) It was noted that the claim language recites method claims for monitoring and controlling

information with steps but there is no substance provided by the applicant that how these

software processes, familiar functional domains into normalized group will be executed in what

way, which manner and how will be executed. The claim language has been interpreted that is to

be done on the piece of paper with pencil and having an abstract idea that software processes will

be divided since the developer knows or familiar with the domain in which application will be

developed (such as medical domain, business domain etc.) and then algorithm can be or will be

normalized with groups which came out from the domain. There is no substance given so that

examiner can interpret in such a way so that it represents a practical application and producing

Page 3

any useful results. Therefore, claims 1-15 are just an abstract idea of producing and dividing software process without representing that it has any practical application. Thus, claims are considered are non-statutory and rejected under 35 USC 101.

II) It was also noted that cited reference teaches dividing software processes, familiar functional domain and normalized groups of algorithms (see figures 2-3) and dividing software process which includes many activities taking place from requirement analysis to deployment phase and cited reference deals with software production system which cites in detail along with using CASE tool, UML, going through different software modeling process which is again dividing software process and is done once that domain has been chosen and generate code after that (see summary of the invention). Therefore, limitations are met by the reference.

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2191

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anil Khatri whose telephone number is 571-272-3725. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wei Zhen can be reached on 571-272-3708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

\*\*\*

ANIL KHATRI
PRIMARY EXAMINER